SPRING 2023 UW FACULTY LIBRARY USE SURVEY BY COLLEGE/SCHOOL

ALL RESPONSES IN PERCENT (%) UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

643 of 4287 surveys received (15% response rate)

College/school (n and % of total)

Colleges/schools shaded in gray have less than 10 respondents and will not be shown in disciplinary breakdowns

School/College	%	#
School of Medicine	41.37	266
College of Arts and Sciences	29.39	189
College of Engineering	4.82	31
College of Built Environments	4.20	27
College of the Environment	3.89	25
School of Public Health	3.89	25
College of Education	1.87	12
School of Nursing	1.87	12
Michael G. Foster School of Business	1.71	11
School of Dentistry	1.71	11
Daniel J. Evans School of Public Policy and Governance	1.40	9
Information School	1.24	8
School of Pharmacy	1.24	8
School of Social Work	0.93	6
School of Law	0.31	2
Graduate School	0.16	1

Response rate by college/school

College/School	Respondents	Distributed	Response rate
School of Medicine	266	2443	10.89%
College of Arts and Sciences	189	764	24.74%
College of Engineering	31	307	10.10%
College of Built Environments	27	60	45.00%
College of the Environment	25	139	17.99%
School of Public Health	25	164	15.24%
College of Education	12	50	24.00%
School of Nursing	12	55	21.82%
Michael G. Foster School of Business	11	87	12.64%
School of Dentistry	11	43	25.58%
Daniel J. Evans School of Public Policy and Governance	9	30	30.00%
Information School	8	39	20.51%
School of Pharmacy	8	36	22.22%
School of Social Work	6	36	16.67%
School of Law	2	32	6.25%
Graduate School	1	2	50.00%

Academic title (n and % of total)

Rank	%	n
Professor	40.12	258
Associate Professor	31.42	202
Assistant Professor	21.77	140
Instructor	3.42	22
Lecturer	0.78	5
Artist in Residence	0.31	2
Unknown	2.18	14

During this academic year (2022-23) have you:

% of respondents answering Yes/No

	Yes	No	Yes#	No#
Received federal funding for research?	52.13%	47.87%	330	303
Received other external sources of funding?	46.35%	53.65%	292	338
Collaborated with scholars/researchers outside UW on a scholarship/artistic endeavor (e.g., conducting a research project, co-authoring/creating an output from the collaborative work)?	86.30%	13.70%	548	87
Collaborated with community partners or others outside of academia on a scholarship/artistic endeavor (e.g., a Citizen Science project, community-engaged research)?	36.46%	63.54%	229	399
Made your work (e.g., articles, data sets, or other digital research materials) publicly available through a repository such as Humanities Commons, Qualitative Data Repository, ArXiv, UW ResearchWorks OR a personal, departmental, or research group website?	52.37%	47.63%	331	301
Published in an Open Access (OA) journal?	51.66%	48.34%	327	306

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school Schools/colleges with less than 10 respondents are not shown

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Received federal funding for research?	31.0	33.3	41.7	67.7	88.0	0.0	63.6	62.8	41.7	92.0
Received other external sources of funding?	34.4	51.9	33.3	77.4	66.7	10.0	45.5	46.1	58.3	64.0
Published in an Open Access (OA) journal?	33.3	33.3	33.3	50.0	76.0	18.2	54.5	63.5	58.3	84.0
Collaborated with scholars/research ers outside UW on a scholarship/ artistic endeavor?	77.4	85.2	91.7	93.5	92.0	90.9	90.9	90.4	91.7	96.0
Collaborated with community partners or others outside of academia on a scholarship/artistic endeavor	31.9	77.8	75.0	46.7	36.0	36.4	18.2	28.2	66.7	56.0
Made your work publicly available through a repository OR a personal, departmental, or research group website?	61.1	70.4	58.3	66.7	80.0	63.6	27.3	40.4	50.0	48.0

% of respondents answering Yes by Rank Ranks with less than 10 respondents are not shown

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Received federal funding for research?	57.3	51.2	50.7	38.1
Received other external sources of funding?	50.0	48.2	42.6	33.3
Published in an Open Access (OA) journal?	54.1	46.7	56.5	47.6
Made your work publicly available	57.9	51.8	44.2	47.6
Collaborated with scholars/researchers outside of UW	87.5	87.6	86.2	81.0
Collaborated with community partners	34.3	37.9	39.1	19.0

% of respondents answering Yes over time

	2023	2019*	2016	2013	2010
Received federal funding for research	52.13	65.9	55.2	49.6	52.2
Received other external sources of funding?	46.35	55.3		40.6	46.2
Published in an open-access journal	51.66	46.1	33.5		

^{*}STEM and Health Sciences faculty only

(Follow up for respondents who answered yes to Made your work publicly available through a repository or a personal, departmental, or research group website?:) Where do you currently share your research and scholarly outputs (e.g., articles, code, software, data sets) after publication or presentation? Please check all that apply.

	Yes %	No %	Yes#	No#
Governmental repository (e.g., ClinicalTrials.gov, Public Access Gateway for Energy & Science/PAGES, PubMed Central)	48.04	51.96	159	172
Institutional repository (e.g., UW ResearchWorks, Content DM)	16.92	83.08	56	275
Disciplinary repository (e.g., bioRxiv, ArXiv, SSRN, Humanities Commons, Qualitative Data Repository)	50.15	49.85	166	165
Generalist, non-discipline specific repository (e.g., Dryad, Zenodo, Open Science Framework)	19.03	80.97	63	268
Commercial repository (e.g., Academia.edu, ResearchGate, GitHub, Figshare)	44.71	55.29	148	183
Personal, departmental, or research group website	65.26	34.74	216	115

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school Schools/colleges with less than 10 respondents are not shown

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Governmental Repository	24.8	21.1	28.6	45.0	40.0	0.0	33.3	80.0	66.7	75.0
Institutional Repository	15.0	15.8	28.6	10.0	40.0	0.0	33.3	12.4	16.7	25.0
Disciplinary Repository	48.7	26.3	28.6	45.0	45.0	57.1	66.7	62.9	0.0	41.7
Generalist Repository	22.1	10.5	14.3	25.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	8.3
Personal or Departmental Website	69.0	68.4	71.4	75.0	90.0	42.9	33.3	55.2	50.0	75.0
Commercial repository	43.4	68.4	71.4	25.0	55.0	28.6	66.7	41.9	33.3	41.7

(Follow up for respondents who answered yes to Made your work publicly available through a repository or a personal, departmental, or research group website?:) What types of scholarly work have you made available via a repository or website? Please check all that apply

						Yes %	N	o %	Yes#	No#	<u>!</u>
ac	Journal articles (includes submitted, accepted/peer-reviewed and published manuscripts/preprints)						1	1.48	293	38	
Во	oks/bo	ok chapt	hapters				7	2.81	90	241	
Сс	Conference papers/presentations						6	4.95	116	215	
Da	Data sets (includes geospatial data)						5	7.70	140	191	
Di	Digital archives (e.g., exhibits)						9	3.66	21	310	
Сс	Code/software						6	1.93	126	205	
lm	Images/audio/video							5.83	80	251	
	ls (surve ocument	ey instrur (s)	nents,	18.43	8	1.57	61	270			
% c	of respo	ndents a	answerin	g Yes by	college/	school					
		A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Journal Articles		85.8	78.9	85.7	85.0	90.0	71.4	100.0	94.3	83.3	100.0
Books or chapters		31.0	36.8	71.4	35.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	33.3	16.7
Conferent papers of presental	r	32.7	57.9	42.9	65.0	15.0	28.6	33.3	30.5	33.3	25.0
Data sets	6	32.7	36.8	14.3	35.0	90.0	42.9	0.0	48.6	50.0	33.3
Digital archives		9.7	10.5	14.3	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0
Code sof	tware	35.4	42.1	14.3	30.0	75.0	28.6	33.3	40.0	0.0	33.3

Images, Audio, Video	26.5	31.6	28.6	25.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	16.7
Research materials	15.0	15.8	14.3	10.0	5.0	0.0	33.3	18.1	33.3	58.3

How important are the following library resources/tools to your research and scholarship? 5 Very important to 1 Not important

	Very important			Not impor	Not important		Mean 2023	Mean 2016
	5	4	3	2	1			
Journal Articles	97.3	1.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	4.96	4.91
Research Databases	77.9	9.9	6.8	3	2.5	4.1	4.58	
Data collections/sets	22	13.1	29.5	15.7	19.7	37	3.02	3.03
Books	42.5	22	21.5	9.4	4.5	4.8	3.89	3.68
News sources	27.9	20	23.9	14.7	13.5	17	3.34	
Conference Papers	26.9	25.3	28.1	12.5	7.1	7.7	3.52	
Lab protocols	16.2	14.5	21.2	17.6	30.4	43.4	2.68	
Multimedia /Streaming	20.9	16	29.2	19.9	14	23.2	3.1	2.76
Special Collections/archives	29.6	20.7	22.5	14.9	12.3	26.7	3.4	3.14

^{*%} of respondents who rated don't use has been provided as reference but excluded from overall % of total calculations and mean score calculations

Mean Score by College/School

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Journal Articles	4.91	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.97	5	5
Research Databases	4.09	4.55	4.75	4.6	4.5	4	5	4.85	5	4.76
Data collections/sets	2.71	3.25	2.78	3.75	3.06	3.44	2.8	3.06	3.83	2.8
Books	4.63	4.42	4.64	4.27	3.96	3.1	3.5	3.25	4.08	3.47
News sources	3.64	3.65	4	3.38	3.21	4.18	3.33	2.95	3.78	3.19
Conference Papers	3.47	4.36	3.55	4.04	3	3.5	3.78	3.52	3.75	3.25
Lab protocols	1.97	2	1.88	2.6	1.89	1.17	2.86	3.16	3.13	2.8
Multimedia/stre aming	3.51	3.29	4.09	2.85	2.67	2.1	2	2.85	3.73	2.25
Special Collections/arc hives	4.03	4.11	3.38	2.92	3.15	2	2.86	2.99	4.11	2.75

Mean Score by Rank Ranks with less than 10 respondents are not shown

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Journal Articles	4.96	4.98	4.97	4.81
Research Databases	4.54	4.56	4.67	4.58
Data collections/sets	2.88	3.01	3.13	3.56
Books	3.9	3.88	3.84	3.6
News sources	3.39	3.35	3.21	2.94
Conference Papers	3.45	3.5	3.55	4.26
Lab protocols	2.5	2.38	3.17	3.38

Multimedia/streaming	3.05	3.05	3.19	2.76
Special	3.37	3.35	3.51	3.06
Collections/archives				

What contribution does the UW Libraries make to your ability to: 5 Major contribution to 1 Minor contribution

	Major		Minor	Minor N		NA* Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	
	5	4	3	2	1		2023	2016	2013	2010	2007
Keep current in your field	82.8	12	2.7	1.1	1.4	0.3	4.74	4.59	4.61	4.67	4.58
Be a more productive researcher	73.5	15.8	6.2	1.6	2.9	1.6	4.55	4.47	4.67	4.63	4.56
Get research funding/grants	57.3	16.3	14.2	5.2	7	11.6	4.12	3.82	3.92		
Enrich student learning experiences	59.1	21.5	11.9	4.1	3.3	5	4.29	4.13	4.16	4.18	4.01
Make more efficient use of your time	64.3	19.6	10.6	3.2	2.4	0.5	4.38	4.31	4.4	4.46	4.38
Adhere to funding agency research guidelines**	39	17.5	22.6	6.7	14.2	12.5	3.6				

^{*%} of respondents who did not respond has been provided as reference but excluded from overall % of total calculations and mean score calculations

Mean Score by College/School

A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH

^{**}Follow up option only shown to those who responded Yes to "Received federal funding for research" or "Received other external sources of funding" in Q1 "During the past year have you"

Keep current in your field	4.7	4.7	4.58	4.52	4.92	4.09	5	4.8	5	4.68
Be a more productive researcher	4.54	4.62	4.67	4.52	4.68	3.91	4.73	4.58	4.67	4.48
Get research funding/grants	3.72	4.04	4	3.87	4.12	3	4.64	4.34	4.92	4.48
Enrich student learning experiences	4.43	4.5	4.42	4.16	4.26	3.55	4.45	4.16	4.83	4.38
Make more efficient use of your time	4.43	4.65	4.67	4.19	4.6	3.64	4.36	4.38	4.67	4.48
Adhere to funding agency research guidelines**	3.13	3.73	3.86	3.22	3.75	1	3.13	3.88	3.88	3.41

Mean Score by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Keep current in your field	4.79	4.73	4.71	4.6
Be a more productive researcher	4.61	4.55	4.51	4.65
Get research funding/grants	4.16	4.18	4.13	4.27
Enrich student learning experiences	4.33	4.28	4.24	4.11

Make more efficient use of your time	4.48	4.38	4.34	4.38
Adhere to funding agency research guidelines**	3.55	3.45	3.75	4.45

In your current research and scholarship, what kind of materials, formats, or data types do you generate in the course of your work? Please select all that apply

Question not shown to respondents from the School of Medicine, School of Nursing, School of Dentistry, or School of Pharmacy

Dentistry, or School of File	шпасу						
			Yes	%	No %	Yes#	No#
Textual data (e.g., txt, PD	F, Word)		92.4	40	7.60	304	25
Tabular or spreadsheet d Sheets)	ata (Exce	l, Google	53.	19	46.81	175	154
Audio visual files (video,	images, m	nedia)	48.0	02	51.98	158	171
Semi-structured formats	14.	14.59		48	281		
Geospatial data	16.	16.11		53	276		
3D files			10.9	94	89.06	36	293
% of respondents answeri	ng Yes by	college/so	chool				
	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	PH
Textual data	93.4	100.0	83.3	96.6	83.3	90.9	94.7
Tabular or spreadsheet data	39.0	70.4	75.0	69.0	70.8	81.8	89.5
Audio visual files	47.8	77.8	66.7	62.1	33.3	18.2	15.8

Semi-structured formats	12.1	18.5	16.7	24.1	25.0	27.3	0.0
Geospatial data	8.8	40.7	8.3	13.8	58.3	0.0	21.1
3D files	8.2	29.6	0.0	17.2	25.0	0.0	0.0
	v. <u> </u>		0.0			0.0	0.0

Mean Score by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Textual data	87.9	95.0	100.0	100.0
Tabular or spreadsheet data	51.0	52.0	62.5	60.0
Audio/visual files	40.3	53.0	53.1	80.0
Semi-structured formats	10.7	12.0	26.6	20.0
Geospatial data	13.4	23.0	15.6	0.0
3D files	10.7	9.0	12.5	20.0

Please indicate which of the following (if any) of the following digital methods and tools you currently incorporate into your scholarship. Please check all that apply.

Question not shown to respondents from the School of Medicine, School of Nursing, School of Dentistry, or School of Pharmacy

	Yes %	No %	Yes #	No#
Computational text analytics/analysis (e.g., text mining, natural language processing, ProQuest TDM Studio)	17.85	82.15	58	267
Data mining or predictive analytics	18.77	81.23	61	264
Visualization tools (e.g., Tableau)	30.46	69.54	99	226

Geospatial analysis / digital mapping (e.g., ArcGIS)	19.38	80.62	63	262
Social network analysis (e.g., Gephi, GraphViz)	8.92	91.08	29	296
Digital publishing (e.g., creation of online exhibits using Omeka, WordPress, Scalar)	20.92	79.08	68	257
Digital storytelling / podcasting	17.54	82.46	57	268
Computational analysis of digital images, video, or audio	15.38	84.62	50	275
3D modeling and printing	11.38	88.62	37	288
Markup technologies (e.g., TEI markup)	3.38	96.62	11	314
Statistical analysis software (e.g., R, STATA, SAS)	40.62	59.38	132	193
Qualitative analysis software (e.g., AtlasTI, Nvivo)	23.08	76.92	75	250

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	PH
Computational text analytics/analysis	15.6	14.8	8.3	24.1	16.7	63.6	11.1
Data mining or predictive analytics	13.4	22.2	8.3	24.1	29.2	36.4	38.9
Visualization tools	24.0	59.3	33.3	31.0	58.3	27.3	33.3
Geospatial analysis/digital mapping	12.3	51.9	8.3	13.8	50.0	0.0	27.8
Social network analysis	8.4	7.4	8.3	10.3	8.3	18.2	11.1
Digital publishing	22.9	37.0	8.3	17.2	25.0	0.0	16.7

Digital storytelling/podcasti ng	16.8	40.7	33.3	6.9	8.3	9.1	5.6
Computational analysis of digital images, video, or audio	12.3	25.9	0.0	27.6	20.8	9.1	22.2
3D modeling and printing	10.6	29.6	0.0	24.1	4.2	0.0	0.0
Markup technologies	3.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
Statistical analysis software	26.3	44.4	50.0	31.0	66.7	90.9	94.4
Qualitative analysis software	14.0	25.9	50.0	20.7	16.7	27.3	50.0

% of respondents answering Yes by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Computational text analytics/analysis	17.6	13.3	26.6	20.0
Data mining or predictive analytics	13.5	21.4	26.6	20.0
Visualization tools	26.4	37.8	28.1	40.0
Geospatial analysis/digital mapping	17.6	25.5	15.6	20.0
Social network analysis	8.1	8.2	12.5	20.0
Digital publishing	16.9	27.6	20.3	20.0
Digital storytelling/podcasting	12.8	22.4	21.9	20.0

Computational analysis of digital images, video, or audio	12.2	14.3	21.9	40.0
3D modeling and printing	11.5	7.1	14.1	40.0
Markup technologies	2.0	7.1	1.6	0.0
Statistical analysis software	35.8	42.9	50.0	60.0
Qualitative analysis software	15.5	27.6	34.4	20.0

Which of the following sources of support have you used in the past year for your research and scholarship?

Question not shown to respondents from the School of Medicine, School of Nursing, School of Dentistry, or School of Pharmacy

	Yes %	No %	Yes#	No#
Student research assistance for gathering, analyzing, organizing research materials/data	61.68%	38.32%	198	123
Departmental support staff (project management, grant administration, etc.)	64.49%	35.51%	207	114
Departmental resources for infrastructure (e.g., software, space, equipment)	69.78%	30.22%	224	97
UW support outside of my department (e.g., eScience, Simpson Center, Office of Research, Center for Social Science Computation & Research (CSSCR), Libraries, UW-IT)	66.04%	33.96%	212	109

[%] of respondents answering Yes by college/school

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	PH
Student research assistance for gathering, analyzing, organizing research materials/data	52.0	65.4	83.3	75.9	56.5	70.0	83.3
Departmental support staff	58.7	73.1	66.7	69.0	91.3	20.0	88.9
Departmental resources for infrastructure	65.9	69.2	91.7	79.3	73.9	70.0	72.2
UW support outside of my department	69.8	57.7	50.0	65.5	56.5	50.0	66.7

% of respondents answering Yes by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Student research assistance for gathering, analyzing, organizing research materials/data	57.1	68.0	65.6	40.0
Departmental support staff	66.0	63.9	68.8	40.0
Departmental resources for infrastructure	70.7	67.0	73.4	60.0
UW support outside of my department	66.7	68.0	70.3	60.0

(Follow up for respondents who answered yes to any of the above:) Please specify which sources of support you have used. Please check all that apply.

	Yes %	No %	Yes#	No#
eScience Institute	16.98%	83.02%	36	176
Simpson Center for the Humanities	31.60%	68.40%	67	145

Office of Research	41.51%	58.49%	88	124
Center for Social Science Computation & Research (CSSCR)	7.55%	92.45%	16	196
Center for Statistics and the Social Sciences (CSSS)	10.85%	89.15%	23	189
Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology (CSDE)	18.87%	81.13%	40	172
Qualitative Multi-Method Program (UW-Qual)	2.83%	97.17%	6	206
UW Libraries	85.85%	14.15%	182	30
UW-IT	71.70%	28.30%	152	60

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	PH
eScience Institute	10.4	13.3	16.7	31.6	46.2	20.0	0.0
Simpson Center for the Humanities	49.6	13.3	16.7	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Office of Research	40.0	66.7	33.3	52.6	30.8	0.0	41.7
Center for Social Science Computation & Research (CSSCR)	8.8	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
Center for the Statistics and Social Sciences (CSSS)	8.8	13.3	16.7	5.3	0.0	20.0	0.0
Center for Studies in Demography and Ecology (CSDE)	11.2	60.0	16.7	0.0	15.4	20.0	41.7
Qualitative Multi-Method Program (UW-Qual)	2.4	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
UW Libraries	85.6	100.0	83.3	89.5	84.6	80.0	83.3
UW-IT	69.6	80.0	66.7	73.7	76.9	100.0	75.0

Which of the following scholarly communication and digital scholarship services would be useful to your research and scholarly activity? Please select up to 4.

	Yes %	No %	Yes#	No#
Help with digitizing research materials (e.g., converting text or images to digital files)	30.13	69.87	185	429
Help creating online exhibits or publications, including making a work available through a digital platform or publishing/hosting tool (e.g. Manifold, Pressbooks)	33.39	66.61	205	409
Assistance with understanding copyright and author rights issues	37.30	62.70	229	385
Assistance with assessing and communicating the impact of your work (e.g., citation metrics, social/web-based metrics)	45.93	54.07	282	332
Assistance with translating/communicating research to a variety of audiences (e.g., policy makers, general public, scholars in another discipline)	38.27	61.73	235	379
Assistance with establishing an online professional identity (e.g., setting up Google Scholar profile/ORCID)	32.90	67.10	202	412

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Help with digitizing research materials	40.2	46.2	16.7	12.9	26.1	20.0	45.5	26.5	33.3	16.7
Help creating online exhibits or publications, including making a work available	38.6	38.5	41.7	16.1	26.1	20.0	36.4	31.7	41.7	25.0

Assistance with understanding copyright and author rights issues	35.3	46.2	50.0	51.6	26.1	10.0	36.4	32.9	50.0	50.0
Assistance with assessing and communicating the impact of your work	32.1	65.4	41.7	48.4	39.1	40.0	90.9	49.4	83.3	54.2
Assistance with translating/communi cating research to a variety of audiences	29.9	38.5	41.7	25.8	39.1	50.0	27.3	43.0	66.7	54.2
Assistance with establishing an online professional identity	24.5	42.3	16.7	41.9	8.7	20.0	54.5	40.6	16.7	37.5

% of respondents answering Yes by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Help with digitizing research materials	27.3	33.3	28.6	33.3
Help creating online exhibits or publications, including making a work available	27.3	41.5	32.3	28.6
Assistance with understanding copyright and author rights issues	35.3	39.0	38.3	33.3
Assistance with assessing and communicating the impact of your work	39.0	51.8	54.1	42.9
Assistance with translating/communicating research to a variety of audiences	27.7	40.5	53.4	47.6
Assistance with establishing an online professional identity	30.5	31.8	37.6	38.1

Which of the following qualitative or quantitative data lifecycle services would be useful to your research and scholarly activity? Please select up to 4.

	Yes %	No %	Yes#	No#
Assistance finding existing data (e.g., identifying relevant datasets)	40.55	59.45	249	365
Assistance with processing and/or cleaning data	28.99	71.01	178	436
Assistance with data analysis, including identifying relevant tools	37.62	62.38	231	383
Assistance with describing, organizing, and preparing data or other types of digital files for sharing, discovery, and reuse	32.90	67.10	202	412
Guidance on depositing data into a repository	42.51	57.49	261	353
Support for complying with funder or journal mandates for open data sharing	42.02	57.98	258	356

% of respondents answering Yes by college/school

	A&S	BE	Ed	Eng	Env	Bus	Den	Med	Nur	PH
Assistance finding existing data	29.9	38.5	33.3	35.5	26.1	80 .0	45.5	50.2	58.3	37.5
Assistance with processing and/or cleaning data	17.4	30.8	25.0	29.0	17.4	80.0	54.5	35.3	33.3	16.7
Assistance with data analysis, including identifying relevant tools	20.7	46.2	16.7	38.7	13.0	90.0	54.5	51.0	41.7	25.0

Assistance with describing, organizing, and preparing data or other types of digital files for sharing, discovery, and reuse	25.0	30.8	33.3	25.8	39.1	20.0	45.5	38.2	33.3	33.3
Guidance on depositing data into a repository	29.3	42.3	41.7	32.3	65.2	10.0	54.5	49.8	50.0	58.3
Support for complying with funder or journal mandates for open data sharing	30.4	38.5	33.3	41.9	56.5	0.0	63.6	47.8	58.3	62.5

% of respondents answering Yes by Rank

	Professor	Associate Professor	Assistant Professor	Instructor
Assistance finding existing data	34.5	42.6	46.6	42.9
Assistance with processing and/or cleaning data	26.5	28.2	33.8	33.3
Assistance with data analysis, including identifying relevant tools	32.5	41.0	41.4	52.4
Assistance with describing, organizing, and preparing data or other types of digital files for sharing, discovery, and reuse	31.3	32.3	35.3	38.1
Guidance on depositing data into a repository	42.6	41.0	45.1	38.1
Support for complying with funder or journal mandates for open data sharing	38.2	45.6	45.1	38.1